UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION

Line Cotte

IN RE GADOLINIUM BASED CONTRAST AGENTS PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION (MDL No. 1909)

Case No. 1:08 GD 50000

(MDL No. 1909)

Honorable Dan A. Polster

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

ALL CASES

AGREED ORDER RE: DISTRIBUTOR DEFENDANT McKESSON CORPORATION

\ -----

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

As of November 5, 2009 ("Effective Date"), Plaintiffs (through undersigned Counsel), McKesson Corporation ("McKesson"), and Manufacturer Defendants as set forth below, hereby stipulate as follows:

- 1. This Stipulation governs the following:
- (a) "MDL cases" which shall mean the cases listed in Exhibit A (the "Listed Cases") and any other gadolinium-based contrast agent ("GBCA") cases presently or later filed in the <u>In Re: Gadolinium-Based Contrast Agents Product Liability</u> Multi-District Litigation 1909 (hereinafter "MDL 1909") pending in the Northern District of Ohio before Judge Dan Aaron Polster, Case Number 1:08-gd-50000 (the "Court") by counsel for plaintiffs in the Listed Cases ("Plaintiffs' Counsel.") in which McKesson is named as a party.
- (b) "Other MDL Cases," which shall include other GBCA cases pending or may be filed in MDL 1909, where the McKesson is not a party.
- 2. The parties to the agreement that is set forth in this Agreed Order (the "Parties") are McKesson, a distributor of GBCAs; the undersigned Plaintiffs' counsel whose clients' interests are affected by this Agreed Order; and the Manufacturer Defendants: General Electric Company, GB Healthcare, Inc., Bayer Healthcare Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Bayer Schering Pharma AG, Bracco Diagnostics, Inc., and Mallinckrodt, Inc., Tyco Healthcare, Covidien, Ltd., through their undersigned counsel.
- 3. In the MDL Cases, McKesson will satisfy its obligations under this Agreement by complying with MDL 1909 Case Management Order No. 11 ("CMO 11"), entered by the Court on April 30, 2009. However, after McKesson satisfies its obligations to provide sales

27 28

1

information required by Paragraph 5 of CMO 11, the Parties have agreed that McKesson shall be dismissed in all MDL cases listed in Exhibit A regardless of whether McKesson sold or distributed any GBCA product(s) to the imaging facility(s) in question.

- 4. As such, in the MDL Cases, Plaintiffs' Counsel will execute a request for an order dismissing McKesson from the action, without prejudice, pursuant to FRCP 41(a)(2), within 30 days after McKesson has provided the information required by Paragraph 5 of CMO 11, or within 30 days of the Effective Date of this Agreed Order, whichever is later, regardless of whether McKesson sold or distributed any GBCA product(s) to the imaging facility(s) in question.
- 5. In the Other MDL Cases, where McKesson is not a party to the action, McKesson agrees to act as a resource to Plaintiffs, the MDL Court and Manufacturer Defendants, with the limitations set forth below. McKesson will provide sales information to a party seeking such information provided only that the party seeking information from McKesson first obtains satisfactory confirmation from the applicable imaging facility and/or Manufacturer Defendant indicating that McKesson sold or distributed the GBCA product in question. Satisfactory confirmation that McKesson sold or distributed the GBCA product to the imaging facility at issue, which will trigger McKesson's obligation, include:
 - (a) An affidavit of the appropriate imaging facility personnel stating that McKesson distributed the GBCA product to the imaging facility;
 - (b) Deposition testimony of the appropriate imaging facility personnel stating that McKesson distributed the GBCA product to the facility;
 - (c) Deposition testimony of the appropriate Manufacturer Defendant personnel stating that McKesson distributed the GBCA product to the facility;
 - (d) An invoice from the imaging facility indicating that McKesson distributed the GBCA product to the facility; or
 - (e) A Defendant Fact Sheet stating that McKesson was the distributor of the GBCA product to the imaging facility in question.
- 6. In the Other MDL Cases, within 60 days of receipt of the confirming information described in paragraph 5(a)-(e) above, McKesson agrees to provide the following information:
 - (a) A Declaration to the parties confirming or denying any sales of a GBCA product to the facility in question during the three years preceding the procedures at . issue.

- (b) If the Declaration confirms that McKesson supplied a GBCA product to the facility in question during the time period referred to above, the Declaration will include information stating, if such information is available: (i) the GBCA or GBCAs sold; (ii) the date of sale; (iii) the name and address of the facility; (iv) the customer account number; (v) the quantity of GBCA sold; and (vi) the billing document and number. Plaintiffs' Counsel shall not add McKesson as a defendant to any case in which this information is provided, even if McKesson provides information that it sold or distributed any GBCA product(s) to the imaging facility at issue(s).
- (c) If McKesson denies that it sold or distributed the GBCA product(s) to the imaging facility at issue, the Declaration shall state that McKesson has conducted a reasonable search of its customer sales and sales history databases and has confirmed that it could not locate any evidence that it supplied GBCA product(s) to the imaging facility in question, for the three (3) years preceding the procedure(s) at issue.
- 7. In the MDL Cases and the Other MDL Cases, all of the information provided to the Plaintiffs pursuant to Paragraph 7(a)-(c) must be treated as "HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL," pursuant to the existing Protective Orders in MDL 1909, and is also subject to the Non-Share Agreement attached hereto as Exhibit B.
- 8. The Manufacturer Defendants agree to the dismissal of McKesson without prejudice in the MDL Cases, as set forth herein.
- 9. Each party will bear its own fees and costs in connection with the dismissals of McKesson in cases listed in Exhibit A.

IT IS SO STIPULATED:

Dated: November 4, 2009 SEDGWICK, DETERT, MORAN & ARNOLD LLP

/s/ Michael L. Fox Charles T. Sheldon Michael L. Fox Attorney for McKesson Corporation

1	Dated: November 4, 2009	SKIKOS, CRAWFORD, SKIKOS, JOSEPH & MILLICAN
2		/s/ Steven J. Skikos
3	•	Steven J. Skikos, Esq. Kathleen N. Millican, Esq.
4		Kathleen N. Millican, Esq. Plaintiff's attorney, on behalf of Plaintiffs' Executive Committee
5	D	
6	Date: November 4, 2009	BOHRER LAW FIRM
7	·	<u>/s/ Philip Bohrer</u> Philip Bohrer
8		
9	Date: November 4, 2009	WILLIAMSON & RUSNAK
10		
11	_	/s/ Cyndi Rusnak Cyndi Rusnak
12		
13 14	Date: November 4, 2009	SANFORD BARLOW LLP
15		In/ Alor Doulous
16		<u>/s/ Alex Barlow</u> Alex Barlow
17		
18	Date: November 4, 2009	KLINE & SPECTER
19		/s/ Michelle Tiger
20		Michelle Tiger
21		
22	Dated: November 4, 2009	DLA PIPER LLP,
23		/s/Christopher Strongosky Heidi Levine, Esq.
24		Christopher Strongosky, Esq. Attorneys for Defendants General Electric
25		Company, GE Healthcare Inc.
26		
27		
28		

. 1	Dated: November 4, 2009	GOLDMAN ISMAIL TOMASELLI BRENNAN & BAUM LLP
2		/s/ Tarek Ismail
3	•	Tarek Ismail, Esq. Attorneys for Defendants
4		Bayer Corporation, Bayer Schering Pharma AG and Bayer Healthcare Pharmaceuticals, Inc.
5		
6	Dated: November 4, 2009	BAKER STERCHI COWDEN & RICE
. 7		/s/ Paul Penticuff
8		Paul Penticuff, Esq. Attorneys for Defendant Bracco Diagnostics, Inc.
9		
10	Dated: November 4, 2009	SHOOK, HARDY & BACON, LLP,
11		/s/ Deborah A. Moeller Deborah A. Moeller, Esq.
12		Deborah A. Moeller, Esq. Attorneys for Defendants Mallinckrodt Inc., Tyco Healthcare, Covidien,
13	i e	LTD.
14		
15	IT IS SO ORDERED:	
16	II IS SO GLOBIUS.	\ \p\/\
17	11/5	Jan Wall
18	Dated:, 2009	Honorable Dan A. Polster
19		
20		
21		
22		•
23		
24		
25		·
26		
27		
28		

Exhibit A

EXHIBIT A TO MDL ORDER TO DISMISS MCKESSON

MCKESSON CORPORATION CASE LIST

CASE NAME	CASE NUMBER	JURISDICTION	PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL
Judith Darlene Neal v. Bayer Corp. et. al	1:09-gd-50077	MDL -	Williamson &Rusnak
Cassandra Pettigrew v. General Electric Company, et. a	1:08-gd-50359- DAP	District Court of Rhode Island now in MDL	Kline & Specter
Juanita McCain et al v. General Electric Company, et. al.	1:09-gd-50095	MDL	Bohrer Law Firm Skikos Crawford, Skikos, Joseph & Millican
Regis Wright v. General Electric Company, et. al.	1:09-gd-50093	MDL .	Bohrer Law Firm Skikos Crawford, Skikos, Joseph & Millican
John Waldrip v. General Electric Company, et. al.	1:09-gd-50091	MDL	Bohrer Law Firm Skikos Crawford, Skikos, Joseph & Millican
Gerald Templeton (Duncan) v. General Electric Company, et. al.	1:09-gd-50094	MDL	Bohrer Law Firm Skikos Crawford, Skikos, Joseph & Millican
Elizabeth Arntsen v. Bayer Corp, et al.	1:09-gd-50031	MDL	Williamson &Rusnak
Clifford Brown v. Bayer Corp, et al.	1:09-gd-50033	MDL	Williamson &Rusnak
Dabby Bowman v. Bayer Corp, et al.	1:09-gd-50032	MDL	Williamson &Rusnak
Pete Capo v. Bayer Corp, et al.	1:09-gd-50034	MDL	Williamson &Rusnak
Mary Cooper v. Bayer Corp, et al.	1:09-gd-50036	MDL	Williamson &Rusnak
Reynolds Delgado, Sr. v. Bayer Corp, et al.	1:09-gd-50037	MDL	Williamson &Rusnak
John Paul Freeman et al. v. Bayer Healthcare Pharmaceuticals, Inc. et al.	1:09-gd-50038	MDL	Sanford Barlow LLP
Leo P. Johnson v. Bayer Corp, et al.	1:09-gd-50040	MDL	Williamson &Rusnak
-Carol Murray v. Bayer Corp, et al.	1:09-gd=50043	MÐL	Sanford-Barlow LLP
David Simpson v. General Electric Co., et al.	1:09-gd-50154	MDL	Bohrer Law Firm and Skikos Crawford, Skikos, Joseph & Millican

Exhibit B

ORDER TO DISMISS MCKESSON

NON-SHARE AGREEMENT

- 1. Certain documents, testimony, and other information that may be disclosed or produced in this litigation contain trade secrets or other confidential proprietary, business, and commercial information, as well as other information protected from disclosure by applicable law. Protection of this information is necessary and will benefit the parties and their counsel in this litigation by allowing them to present fully their claims and defenses concerning the information and activities at issue without concern that their Confidential Information would be disclosed to and/or appropriated by their competitors from the public record.
- 2. There is currently a Protective Order governing the In Re: Gadolinium-Based

 Contrast Agents Product Liability Multi-District Litigation 1909 cases, which is still in full force and effect.
- 3. In addition, Counsel for Plaintiffs in this matter may not disclose, use, or share any documents produced by McKesson Corporation, specifically sales history information, in this litigation in discovery in any other litigation, nor share them with other individuals or entities who are engaged in, or contemplating, litigation against the McKesson Corporation or Manufacturer Defendants. Similarly, responses to document requests may only be used in the matter in which they are served.

WHEREFORE, the parties to this stipulation, by and through their respective counsel, hereby agree that, to the extent Confidential Information is produced, such Confidential Information will be subject to the terms and provisions of this Stipulated Non-Share Agreement.