ATTACHMENT 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO

		,	CASE NO.
)	CASE NO.
	Plaintiff)	JUDGE
	1 KIIKIII)	JODGE
)	
	VS.)	REPORT OF PARTIES' PLANNING
)	MEETING UNDER FED. R. CIV.
)	P. 26(f) AND L.R. 16.3(b)
	Defendant)	
1.	Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f) and L.R. 16.3(b), a meeting was held on		
		, 20	, and was attended by:
	counsel for	plaintiff	(s)
	counsel for	plaintiff	(s)
	COURSOITOI	Pidifidifi	
	counsel for	defenda	ant(s)
	counsel for	defenda	ant(s)
2.	The parties:		
	have exchanged the pre-discovery disclosures required by Rule 26(a)(1) and the Co		
	prior order;		
	will exchange such disclosures by		20 ·
	will exchange such disclosures by		

	have not been required to make initial disclosures.			
3.	3. The parties recommend the following track:			
Expec	dited Standard Complex			
Admi	inistrative Mass Tort			
4.	This case is suitable for one or more of the following Alternative Dispute Resolution			
("ADR") med	chanisms:			
Early	Neutral Evaluation Mediation Arbitration			
Sumn	mary Jury Trial Summary Bench Trial			
Case	not suitable for ADR			
5.	The partiesdo/ do not consent to the jurisdiction of the United States			
Magistrate J	udge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c).			
6.	Recommended Discovery Plan:			
	a) Describe the subjects on which discovery is to be sought and the nature and extent of			
	discovery.			
	(b) Discovery cut-off date:			

7.	Recommended dispositive motion date: Recommended cut-off date for amending the pleadings and/or adding additional parties		
8.			
0	Decomposed detector of Ste	atus Haging.	
9.	Recommended date for a Sta	atus Hearing:	
10.	Other matters for the attention of the Court:		
		Attorney for Plaintiff(s)	
		Attorney for Plaintiff(s)	
		Attorney for Defendant(s)	
		Attorney for Defendant(s)	